100) DEC -8 P 11: 16 UN 100) DEC -8 P 11: 16 100) DEC -8 P 11: 1	ITED STATES DISTRICT COUR' ISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS CIVIL ACTION RATION,)))	LOCAL RULE 4.1 WAIVER FORM
v. FRED A. NUDD CORPORATI GRANITE STATES INSURAN COMPANY, Defendants.	CE)	469 JLT

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441, 1446, defendants Fred A. Nudd Corporation ("Nudd") and Granite State Insurance Company ("Granite") remove the Massachusetts state court civil action, American Tower Corporation v. Fred A. Nudd Corporation, and Granite States [sic] Insurance Company, Suffolk Superior Court, Civil Action No. 03-5290, to this Court. Copies of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon defendants are attached as Exhibit A. The state court action is removable to this Court on the basis of diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b). A more detailed statement of the grounds for removal follows:

- 1. Plaintiff American Tower Corporation ("ATC") filed this action in the Suffolk County Superior Court on November 6, 2003.
- 2. This action arises out of the purchase and use by ATC's alleged predecessor of cellular telephone antennae towers, known as "monopoles," from Nudd. ATC asserts that some monopoles sold by Nudd are defective because they purportedly do not meet certain standards for stress loads. ATC further asserts that Granite is Nudd's insurer.

- 3. The Complaint alleges that plaintiff ATC is a Delaware Corporation registered in Massachusetts, with a principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts. (Compl. at ¶ 1). ATC is, therefore, a citizen of Massachusetts. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c).
- 4. Nudd is a New York Corporation with a principal place of business in Ontario, New York. Nudd is, therefore, a citizen of New York. See id..
- 5. Granite is a Pennsylvania company with a principal place of business in New York, New York. Granite, therefore, is a citizen of Pennsylvania and of New York. See id.
 - 6. ATC seeks damages "as much or more than \$5,125,000.00." (Compl. at ¶ 44)
- 7. Accordingly, this Court has original jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), and because no defendant is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, it is removable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.
- 8. Defendants' Notice of Removal is filed within thirty (30) days after service on defendants of the initial pleading and is thus timely filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

WHEREFORE, defendants request that this Court exercise jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441, 1446.

FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION,

By its attorneys,

Nelson G. Apjohn (BBO#020373)

Thomas W. Aylesworth (BBO#630994)

Amanda S. Rosenfeld (BBO#654101)

Nutter, McClennen & Fish, LLP

World Trade Center West 155 Seaport Boulevard

Boston, MA 02210-2609

(617) 439-2000

GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY,

By its Attorneys,

Eric M. Chodkowski (BBO#648629)

Taylor, Duane, Barton & Gilman, LLP

111 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109

(617) 654-8299

Dated: December 8, 2003

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on this 8th day of December, 2003, I served a copy of the foregoing, by hand, to Doreen M. Zankowski, Esq, counsel for American Tower Corporation, and to Eric M. Chodkowski, Esq., counsel for Granite State Insurance Company.

Thomas W. Aylesworth

1279214.1

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SUFFOLK, ss.



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT CIVIL ACTION

No. 03-5290		
	Plaintiff(s)	

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION

FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION and GRANITE _ , Defendant(s) STATES INSURANCE COMPANY

SUMMONS

Fred A. Nudd Corporation

To the above-named Defendant: 1743 Route 104, Ontario, New York

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon Jeremy Blackowicz, Esq. of Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP

plaintiff's attorney, whose address is 28 State Street, Boston, MA 02109, an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at Boston either before service upon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

Witness, Suzanne V. DelVecchio, Esquire, at Boston, the Eleventh , in the year of our Lord two thousand and three

> Michael Joseph Donovan Clerk/Magistrate

- 1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant.
- 3 TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: PLEASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED (1) FOR F (2) MOTOR VEHICLE FORT (3) CONTRACT (4) EQUITABLE RELIEF (5) OTHER

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, ss	SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION NO.
AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION,	03-5290 -
Plaintiff,	C-
v.)	COMPLAINT
FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION, and GRANITE STATES INSURANCE COMPANY,	
Defendants.	

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff American Tower Corporation brings this action against Defendant Fred A. Nudd Corporation as a result of the defendant's breach of contract, negligent design, fraudulent misrepresentation of its product, breach of the express and implied warranties and violation of M.G.L. c. 93A. The plaintiff seeks relief jointly and severally from Defendant Fred A. Nudd Corporation and its insurer Granite State Insurance Company.

PARTIES

Plaintiff, American Tower Corporation ("ATC") is a Delaware Corporation, registered to
do business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, with a principal place of business at
116 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts.



- Upon Information and belief, Defendant Fred A. Nudd Corporation ("Nudd") is a New York corporation with a principal place of business at 1743 Route 104, Ontario, New York.
- 3. Upon Information and belief, Defendant Granite States Insurance Company ("Granite") is a duly organized Pennsylvania insurance company with a principal place of business at 70 Pine Street, New York, New York.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to M.G.L. c. 223A, § 3(a), because each of the defendants is transacting business within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts; and under M.G.L. c. 223A, § 3(f), because Granite is contracting to provide insurance within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 5. Venue is proper because the plaintiff maintains its principal place of business within Suffolk County.

FACTS

- 6. During the course of the period including June 1998, through October 1999, ATC's predecessor in interest, Unisite, Inc. ("Unisite") purchased numerous self-supporting antenna towers, known as "monopoles" from Defendant Nudd. See invoices, true and accurate copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 7. Pursuant to the purchase agreements, Nudd delivered the monopoles to various leased and owned sites upon which Unisite would erect the monopoles and rent out antenna space to various tenants.

- 8. Nudd Invoice number 20162 on September 3, 1998 represents a ninety-foot, five-carrier monopole that was shipped to Unisite site number 48076 in Waquoit, Massachusetts.
- 9. Although the monopoles purchased by Unisite were of various heights, all were represented as having the ability to support 5 carriers, which represent the number of tenants and their antennas the monopole could support.
- 10. Nudd represented to Unisite the ability of its monopoles to carry up to five tenants in order to induce Unisite to purchase its products.
- 11. The ability to provide space for 5 tenants was material to Unisite's decision to purchase the Nudd monopoles and was crucial to its ability to make a return on its investment by renting out all available tenant spaces on the monopoles at each site.
- 12. On or about January 13, 2000, Unisite and ATC merged, with ATC assuming all rights associated with Unisite's assets and properties, including the monopoles purchased from Nudd.
- 13. On or about January 2001, during an ATC analysis of a Nudd monopole prior to installing a new tenant, ATC discovered a flaw in the monopole, rendering it unable to support the indicated five tenants and further rendering the monopole unsafe for further development.
- 14. ATC's analysis of the monopoles resulted in a finding that the monopole base plates were overstressed by at least 180%, thus failing to meet E.I.A. standards and requirements.
- 15. ATC's analysis further revealed that the over-stress of the monopole base plates was a result of negligent design by Nudd, which failed to discover and correct faulty calculations by its design engineer.

- 16. The over-stress of the monopole was occurring at only a two to three tenant loading, far from the five tenant loading the monopole was allegedly designed to carry and was represented to Unisite as having the ability to carry.
- 17. The design flaw was not discovered prior to January 2001 because, until that period,
 Unisite had focused on the acquisition of sites and monopoles rather than securing of
 tenants. ATC began capitalizing on the sites in its portfolio after the Unisite merger and
 began to secure tenants to completely fill the monopole tenant spaces on its sites.
- 18. On or about January 22, 2001, ATC contacted Nudd regarding the failure of the monopoles and the loss of the additional tenant space and revenue on each monopole suffered by ATC.
- 19. On or about February 5, 2001, ATC shared its analysis and review of a sample of Nudd monopoles with Nudd's engineers.
- 20. Nudd's monopole design failed to apply the appropriate gust response factors and failed to incorporate P-delta effects as required by the E.I.A standards.
- 21. In addition to the base-plate over-stress, as a result of the design flaw, the monopole shafts and the foundations laid by Unisite and ATC have experienced damage and stress as well.
- 22. As a result of Nudd's negligent design, ATC's property experienced a loss, i.e. the ability to support five tenants as well as the structural damage to the poles, base-plates and foundations.

- 23. Because of the monopoles' inability to support the five tenants that they were represented to carry, ATC experienced lost revenue as tenants had to be turned away from potential development sites.
- 24. ATC's engineers spent numerous hours in the review and analysis of the Nudd monopole design flaw which caused further loss to ATC.
- 25. ATC hired a third-party to review the monopole design issues and which suggested a solution to retrofit the monopoles in order to attempt to correct the over-stress and inability to hold five tenants, thus incurring further expenses as a result of Nudd's negligent design.
- 26. ATC and its third-party reviewer came to the conclusion that the Nudd monopoles may be as much as 32% under-designed for their intended and represented use.
- 27. In the course of ATC's discussions with Nudd over the period of February 2001 through May 2001, Nudd committed and represented on numerous occasions that it would fix all of the problems associated with its product and the faulty design.
- 28. Nudd proposed to adopt the third-party's solution to "gusset" the monopoles as a means to correct over-stress.
- 29. Nudd's solution may fix the base-plate over-stress, but does not address pole or foundation stress or damage.
- 30. Nudd's retrofitting solution does not fully correct design deficiencies of the monopoles and Nudd's monopoles as corrected by the retrofit remain damaged and under-designed and unable to sustain the full loading of five carriers as represented by Nudd when the monopoles were initially purchased.

#413919 5

Case 1:03-cv-12469-JLT

- 31. ATC consistently represented to Nudd that it was losing revenue due to the fact that it could not place five tenants on each monopole and further submitted a "priority" list to Nudd of monopoles that needed the retrofitting work performed immediately to accommodate tenant demand.
- 32. ATC has identified at least forty-one Nudd monopoles in its site portfolio.
- 33. ATC continues to identify and review monopoles in its site portfolio, discovering the damage and overstress to the monopole, base and foundation.
- 34. To date, despite ATC's demands to have monopole retrofitting completed expeditiously, only twenty-one monopoles have been retrofitted, and of those, only fifteen have had the work performed by Nudd.
- 35. Despite numerous demands by ATC to Nudd to promptly complete all retrofitting work, Nudd failed to retrofit all of the monopoles owned by ATC and failed to maintain a crew of workers to complete retrofitting work for ATC.
- 36. Nudd was consistently late in preparing the redesigns and engineered drawings necessary for the retrofitting work to be performed on the monopoles, causing further delay and damage to ATC.
- 37. Despite numerous notices from ATC, Nudd failed to cure its defaults of the agreement between it and ATC regarding the repair and retrofitting of the monopoles.
- 38. Despite numerous notices and requests from ATC, Nudd has failed to honor the warranties on its products, which have failed to support the five carriers as represented and which have further failed to support the five carriers which they were allegedly designed and intended to support.

- 45. On or about June 17, 2002, a demand letter was sent to Nudd and was subsequently forwarded to Granite on or about August 28, 2002, providing notice of the actual claim by ATC against Nudd.
- 46. As a result of Nudd's failure to repair or replace its defective poles and remedy all damages due to the defective design, ATC continues to suffer damages as a result of Nudd's defective monopoles and the subsequent damage to the monopole bases and foundations, which such damage is continually occurring and being discovered by ATC as it reviews and analyzes each monopole in its site portfolio.

COUNT I - Breach of Contract

- 47. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-46 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Nudd and ATC's predecessor in interest, Unisite agreed and contracted for the provision by Nudd of five carrier monopoles.
- 49. Nudd has failed to provide five-carrier monopoles as agreed upon in the parties' contract and as paid for by ATC's predecessor in interest, Unisite.
- 50. Nudd has, in fact, delivered faulty and damaged goods to ATC and its predecessor in interest, Unisite in breach of the parties' agreement.
- 51. ATC and its predecessor in interest, Unisite, have complied with all contractual requirements and have paid Nudd in full for the supply and delivery of five-carrier monopoles.
- 52. Nudd's failure to provide five-carrier monopoles has and will continue to cause ATC loss and damage.

53. ATC has suffered damages in the investigation, monitoring and repair of the monopoles as well as significant lost revenue.

WHEREFORE, ATC demands judgment against Nudd and its insurer in the amount of all damages suffered together with interest, costs, and attorney's fees.

COUNT II - Fraudulent Misrepresentation

- 54. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-53 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 55. Unisite decision to purchase Nudd's product was a direct result of Nudd's representation regarding its monopoles' ability to support five carriers.
- 56. Unisite's decision to purchase Nudd's monopoles was induced by Nudd's representation regarding its products ability to support five carriers.
- 57. Nudd's products, in fact, do not have the ability to support five carriers despite its representations to Unisite.
- 58. Nudd has further represented that it will repair all monopoles so that they may support five carriers, which the monopoles may never be able to support.
- 59. Nudd's representations regarding its product and its ability to deliver a five-carrier monopole have and will continue to cause ATC loss and damage.
- 60. ATC has suffered damages in the investigation, monitoring and repair of the monopoles as well as significant lost revenue.

WHEREFORE, ATC demands judgment against Nudd in the amount of all damages suffered together with interest, costs, and attorney's fees.

COUNT III - Negligent Design

- 61. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-60 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 62. Nudd failed to use the necessary care in designing and engineering its product and failed to adhere to industry standards and failed to apply necessary gust response factors and Pdelta effects, resulting in a faulty design.
- 63. Nudd's monopoles fail to comply with their intended use and ability to support five carriers.
- 64. Nudd has acknowledged that its design is defective and that it has provided Unisite and ATC with monopoles that cannot support five carriers.
- 65. As a result of Nudd's defective design, ATC has suffered damages in the investigation, monitoring and repair of the monopoles as well as significant lost revenue.

WHEREFORE, ATC demands judgment against Nudd and its insurer in the amount of all damages suffered together with interest, costs, and attorney's fees.

COUNT IV - Breach of Express and Implied Warranties

- 66. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-65 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 67. In connection with Unisite's purchase of the Nudd monopoles, Nudd represented and warranted that its product would support five carriers and be free of defects or flaws.
- 68. Nudd's monopoles were designed and intended to support up to five carries.
- 69. Nudd's product fails to support five carriers and experiences over-stress when loaded with two to three carriers.

- 70. Despite ATC's numerous requests, Nudd has failed to repair or replace all of its defective monopoles in ATC's site portfolio.
- 71. Nudd has breached the express and implied warranties in connection with its monopoles.
- 72. Nudd's failure to provide five-carrier monopoles has and will continue to cause ATC loss and damage.
- 73. ATC has suffered damages in the investigation, monitoring and repair of the monopoles as well as significant lost revenue.

WHEREFORE, ATC demands judgment against Nudd and its insurer in the amount of all damages suffered together with interest, costs, and attorney's fees.

COUNT V - 93A

- 74. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-73 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 75. At all times relevant to this Complaint, ATC and Nudd were involved in trade and commerce within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 76. Nudd's provision of defective monopoles and its subsequent failure to timely address and remedy the faulty monopoles to the detriment of ATC, despite its knowledge of ATC's continuing loss constitutes an unfair and deceptive act and practice as prohibited by M.G.L. c. 93A.

WHEREFORE, ATC demands judgment against Nudd in the amount of all damages it has suffered as a result of Nudd's unfair and deceptive acts and practices as well as multiple damages; that ATC be awarded its attorney's fees, costs and interest together with such other relief as is just and equitable.

Dated: November 4, 2003

Plaintiff:

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION

By its attorneys:

Doreen M. Zankowski (BBO#558381) Jeremy Blackowicz (BBO# 650945) Hinckley, Allen & Snyder, LLP

28 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

(617) 345-9000



P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 19940

6/24/98 INVOICE DATE:

UNISITE D TO: 3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE

SUITE 250 TAMPA, FL 33618 USA

Ship To:

METRO WELDING, DETROIT, MI SITE 48100 DE 02018A

UNISITI	3491	PAYMENT TERMS	RED JUL - 8	PAGE
•		1% 15, Net 3 Days	0	1
QUANTITY : 18 STATE OF	UMBER ALVEY	BESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	PROVIDE	150' MONOPOLE 16' LO PRO	360.83 800.00 24,440.00 3,000.00	360.83 800.00 24,440.00 3,000.00
·				
		04 (- P=1 J. 99m # 48100		
		# 48100		
		Subtotal		28,600.83
	То	Sales Tax tal Invoice Amount		\$28,600.83
		Payment Received		0.00
		0:009419	TOTAL	\$28,600.83



USA

D TO:

FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 19977

INVOICE DATE:

Ship To:

S. EMERSON SITE 48347

7/2/98

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250
TAMPA, FL 33618

ENTERED JUL 2 1 1998

	Days	<u> </u>	PAGE
ANTIQUE E ENTRE NUVERE	LE DESCRIPTION	UNITERICE	EXTENSION
1.00	PROVIDE 150' MONOPOLE PROVIDE 14' CLAMP ON LOW PROFILE PLATFORM	24,440.00 3,000.00	24,440.00
1.00	SHIPPING OF MONOPOLE TO SITE.	800.00	800.00
			ļ
	Ok to 197 # 48347 D. Am		
	# 48347		
	J. Jun		
	Subtotal		28,240.0
	Sales Tax Total Invoice Amount		\$28,240.0
	Payment Received		0.0
	Check No: 009419 7/31/98	TOTAL	\$28,240.0



OTO:

FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249 INVOICE NUMBER: 19998

INVOICE DATE: 7/10/98

UNISITE Ship To:
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE SHIP OMIRA

SITE #48102 OMIRA STREET, DETROIT

SUITE 250 TAMPA, FL 33618

USA

ENTERED JUL 2 8 1998

CUSTOMER ID PURCHASE ORDER PAYMENT TERMS. PAGE UNISITI 15, Net 30 1 Days 1.00 PROVIDE 125' MONOPOLE 22,230.00 22,230.00 1.00 PROVIDE 16' CLAMP ON 2,650.00 2,650.00 STANDARD PLATFORM FREIGHT TO SITE 141005 1,00 800.00 00.00 ☐ RECEIVED JUL 13 17:11 **D** ENTERED J. Spr 7. Spr # 48102 Subtota1 25,680.00 Sales Tax Total Invoice Amount \$25,680.00 Payment Received 0.00 Check No: \$25,680.00 TOTAL



USA

.D TO:

FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 19999

7/10/98 INVOICE DATE:

Ship To:

SITE #48092 KERRY BROTHERS

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250
TAMPA, FL 33618

ENTERED JUL 2 8 1998

	1% 15, Ne Days	; L J ()	PAGE 1
QUANTITY OF STREET	A STATE OF THE PARTY.	UNITERICE	EXTENSION
1.00	PROVIDE 150' MONOPOLE PROVIDE 14' CLAMP ON LOT PROFILE PLATFORM	24,440.00 3,000.00	
1.00	DELIVERY TO SITE	800.00	0 800.00
	⊟ R	ECEIVED	
	JUL	15 (3)	
·		NTERED	
	OK 68 Jay 1-448092		
	Subtot	al	28,240.00
	Sales T		
	Total Invoice Amou Payment Receiv		\$28,240.00
	Check No: 009419	TOTAL	\$28,240.00



P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER:

20011

INVOICE DATE: 7/15/98

D TO:

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250
TAMPA, FL 33618 USA

Ship To: SITE 48349 PENA PIKE

ENTERED JUL 2 8 1998

UNISIT1		PAYMENT TERMS 91 1% 15, Net 30 Days		PAGE
ANTITY OF SECULO	NUMBER V	P DESCRIPTION	# WNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
1.00 1.00 1.00		PROVIDE 150' MONOPOLE PROVIDE 14' CLAMP ON LOW PROFILE PLATFORM FREIGHT TO SITE	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00
·				
		Ox to 1-1		
	·	ENTERED JUL 2 3 1998		
en en egen a		- · ·		, m
		Subtotal		28,240.00
	·	Sales Tax Total Invoice Amount		400 010
		Payment Received		\$28,240.00
		Check No: 009 419 7/31/58	TOTAL	\$28,240.00



P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER:

20044

INVOICE DATE:

7/23/98

OTO:

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250
TAMPA, FL 33618 USA

Ship To:

ANTHONY BOULEVARD FT. WAYNE, IN 51418287

				of Chair
CUSTOMERID	PURCHASE ORDER	PAYMENT TERMS		PAGE
UNISIT1	3491	1% 15, Net 30		1
CUANTUTY		Days Days	THE BOOK OF THE SECOND	1 3 200
		EDESCRIPTIONS AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY O	CONT PRICE (EXTENSION
1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	PROVIDE PROFILE FREIGHT FREIGHT	150' MONOPOLE 14' CLAMP ON LOW PLATFORM TO DELIVER TOWER TO PREDELIVER BOLTS AND TEMPLATE	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00 242.50	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00 242.50
		•		
		EN	TERED AUG	6 1998
		J. 48,287 J. J. 48,287	05	
		Subtotal		28,482.50
		Sales Tax		
	T	otal Invoice Amount		\$28,482.50
		Payment Received		0.00
···	Check		TOTAL	\$28,482.50



P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 20046

INVOICE DATE: 7/23/98

Ship To:

RANDALL/CRAWFORDSVILLE INDIANAPOLIS, IN SITE # 48438

OTO:

UNISITE 3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE SUITE 250 TAMPA, FL 33618 USA

CUSTOMER ID	PURCHASE ORDER	PAYMENT TERMS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- \
UNISIT1	3491	1% 15, Net 30 Days		PAGE A
DUANTITY E CITEM	IUMBE:	RESERVITION TO THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	E AWITERICE S	EXTENSION
1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	PROVID PROFIL FREIGH FREIGH	E 150' MONOPOLE E 14' CLAMP ON LOW E PLATFORM T TO SHIP TOWER (4)(6) T TO PRESHIP ANCHOR AND TEMPLATE	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00 236.04	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00 236.04
	ENT	ERED AUG - 6 1998		
		of to fat		·
		,		
		Subtotal		28,476.04
	_	Sales Tax		<u> </u>
	T	otal Invoice Amount		\$28,476.04
		Payment Received		0.00
	Check	No: 010207 9/11/98	TOTAL	\$28,476.04



P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 20051

INVOICE DATE: 7/23/98

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250
TAMPA, FL 33618 D TO:

USA

Ship To:

S. EASTERN & 1 465 SITE #48343

CUSTOMER ID	Service Purices Scorper (4.2)	PAYMENT TERMS 1% 15, Net 30 Days	Allegar (gr. 11 ann aig	PAGE 1
OUANTITY TO SEE TIEM	Nune in	DESCRIPTION A	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	PROVIDE PROFILE FREIGHT	150' TOWER 14' CLAMP ON LOW PLATFORM TO SHIP TOWER TO PRESHIP ANCHOR ILL ND TEMPLATE	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00 186.00	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00 186.00
		TO PRESHIP ANCHORIUM OX & MENT OX & MENT Jue 347	ERED AUG -	5 1959
		Subtotal Sales Tax		28,426.00
	То	tal Invoice Amount		\$28,426.00
		Payment Received		0.00
	Check N	10: 00,7,622	TOTAL	\$28,426.00



P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 20047

INVOICE DATE: 7/23/98

) TO: UNISITE

3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE SUITE 250 TAMPA, FL 33618 USA

Ship To:

EMERSON & 38TH STREET INDIANAPOLIS, IN SITE 48353

CUSTOMER D UNISITI	PURCHASE ORDER	18 77 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		PAGE
	3491	1% 15, Net 30 Days		1
QUANTITY A TITEM	NUMBER 1	ANE CELEBON 18 18 18	E UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	PROVIDE PROFILE FREIGHT FREIGHT	150' MONOPOLE 14' CLAMP ON LOW PLATFORM TO SHIP TOWER 14(0) TO PRESHIP ANCHOR ND TEMPLATE	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00 236.0	3,000.00
			NTERED	AUG - 6 1998
		OK to Int		
		Subtotal Sales Tax		28,476.04
	To	tal Invoice Amount		\$28,476.04
	·	Payment Received		0.00
	Check 1	10: 10207 9-11-58	TOTAL	\$28,476.04



P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER:

20074

INVOICE DATE:

7/30/98

O TO: UNISITE 3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE

SUITE 250 TAMPA, FL 33618 USA

Ship To: COMSOFT SITE 48072

ENTERED AUG 3 1 1998

CUSTOMER ID	ELPURCHASE ORDER	PAYMENT TERMS		
UNISIT1	3669		<u> </u>	PAGE
		1% 15, Net 30		
Company of the Compan		Days	1	

UNI	SIT	l :		3669	1% 15, Net 30		FAGE
			<u>-</u>		Days		1
QUANTITY		OTTEM NO	766			47,5	
					PESCHETION 1/2	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
1.0	0			PROVIDE	125' MONOPOLE	24,230.0	24,230.00
1.00	1	D o 14		PROVIDE SECTION	NTER BREAK 75 MPH 12 CLAMP ON IZED MOUNTS.	3,000.0	
0471.00				ICE BRI	DOE WITH MOUNTS 430	495.0	495.00
1.00				FREIGHT	FOR ANCHOR BOLTS	600.0 155.1	600.00
				AND TEM	PLAIE.		
					*		
•			į		•		
·						•	
					, IN	\wedge	
				A	x to 1 mg 7. Gpr 48077		
				0	CM	$\Delta X \sim 1$	25/928
					7.91	(A)	231
	[# 4801		•
			i		₽1		
					Subtotal		28,480.19
			ĺ	,	Sales Tax		20,400,19
				То	tal Invoice Amount		#20 400 40
					Payment Received		\$28,480.19
	L						0.00
				Check N	o:	TOTAL	\$28,480.19



USA

D TO:

FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250
TAMPA, FL 33618

INVOICE NUMBER: 20125

8/19/98 INVOICE DATE:

Ship To:

CLINTON TOWNSHIP, SITE # 48119

ENTERED AUG 3 1 1998

CUSTOMER ID	PURCHASE ORDER	APAYMENT TERMS		
UNISITI	3534	1% 15, Net 3 Days	0	PAGE
CHANTIFF (25 SEE)	Name and	Description of	UNIT ERICE	EXTENSION
1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	16° CLA PLATFOR TOWER F ANCHOR	REIGHT BOLT FREIGHT	22,230.00 2,650.00 800.00 210.12	22,230.00 2,650.00 800.00
		0x to 127 D. Africa # 48119		
	То	Subtotal Sales Tax tal Invoice Amount Payment Received		25,890.12 \$25,890.12 0.00
-	Check N		TOTAL	\$25,890.12



P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 20126

8/19/98 INVOICE DATE:

D TO:

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250
TAMPA, FL 33618

I-70E & I465 SITE # 48276

Ship To:

USA

ENTERED AUG 3 1 1998

CUSTOMERIO:	PURCHASEORIDER 3641	PAYMENT TERMS 1% 15, Net 30 Days		PAGE 1
QUANTITY	EV.SUMBER DE	2000 (10.10 N	UNITERICE	EXTENSION
1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	14' CLA PLATFOR FREIGHT	FOR TOWER FOR ANCHOR BOLTS	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00 230.23	24,440.00 3,000.00 800.00 230.23
		, l u		
		OK to 14 7, 972 448,276		
		Subtotal Sales Tax		28,470.23
	Тс	tal Invoice Amount		\$28,470.23
		Payment Received		0.00
	Check N 9	10: 010207 /11/98	TOTAL	\$28,470.23



TO:

FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 20155

INVOICE DATE: 8/26/98

Ship To:

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250 TAMPA, FL 33618 USA

SWEETMANS LANE SITE #48475

CUSTOMER ID	PURCHASE ORDER	PAYMENT TERMS		PAGE
UNISIT1	3641	1% 15, Net 30 Days		1
QUANTITY TO THEM	DMBER /	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRIČEZ	EXTENSION
1.00	PROVIDE 14' CLA PLATFOR	150' MONOPOLE MP ON LOW PROFILE	24,440.00 3,000.00	24,440.00 3,000.00
1.00	FREIGHT FREIGHT ON 7/13	TO DELIVER TOWER. FOR ANCHOR BOLTS 9/98.	700.00 215.00	700.00 215.00
		ENTERED SEP 2 2	1998	
		OK to fay	475	
·		Subtotal Sales Tax		28,355.00
	Тс	otal Invoice Amount		\$28,355.00
		Payment Received		0.00
	Check 1	No: 010418 9/23/18	TOTAL	\$28,355.00



P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 20160

INVOICE DATE:

Ship To:
ROCKSHIRE APT.
INDIANAPOLIS, IN
SITE 48364

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250
TAMPA, FL 33618 TO: USA

CUSTOMER ID UNISITI	PURCHASE ORDER \$3804	PAYMENT TERMS 1% 15, Net 30 Days	ing advance they	PAGE 1
OUATER C. BETE 15	(0)	PESCHERONIC CONTRACTOR	UNITPRICES	EXTENSION
1.00 1.00 1.00	PROVIDE ROTATAE FREIGHT TOWER FREIGHT		21,970.00 3,000.00 800.00 950.00	21,970.00 3,000.00 800.00 950.00
		OK to 1977 A 9924 4 4036		
		Subtotal Sales Tax		26,720.00
	To	otal Invoice Amount		\$26,720.00
		Payment Received		0.00
	Check A	10:010687	TOTAL	\$26,720.00



TO:

FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 577 1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE NUMBER: 20273

INVOICE DATE: 10/13/98

UNISITE 3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE SUITE 250 TAMPA, FL 33618 USA

Ship To: GRAND RAPIDS, MI SITE 48497

USTOMERID UNISITI	3768	PAYMENT TERMS 1% 15, Net 30		PAGE :
		Days		1
NOTEY : SE METERN	NUMBER VE	DESCRIPTION Z	UNIT PRICE	FEXTENSION
1.00	PROV	IDE 180' MONOPOLE	74,580.00	37 <i>0-90</i> 74,580.00
1.00	PROV	H 5 CARRIER. IDH 14' LOW PROFILE	3,000.00	3,000.00
1.00	FREI	TABLE PLATFORM. GHT TO SHIP TOWER	900.00	900.00
1.00	BOLT	GHT TO SHIP ANCHOR'S AND TEMPLATE.	255.08	255.08
	172			
		w'		27 1998
			LOED OF	
	 - -	L 021	ENTERL	
		OK 40 1		
		of atru	,	
Min's 151 Em	C	J. grung 7 448497		
1846		The state of the s		
· in the m		Subtotal		<u> </u>
Jun 5 151		Sales Tax		78,735.08
' IK		Total Invoice Amount		\$78,735.08
		Payment Received		0.00
	Chec	k No:	TOTAL	\$78,735.08



) TO:

Case Discoving Filed 12/08/2003 Page 3120 32

1743 Route 104 Ontario, New York 14519 (315) 524-2531 Fax (315) 524-4249

INVOICE

INVOICE DATE: 10/29/98

☐ RECEIVED

NOV : 1901

MRRELER ROAD LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MI SITE # 48371

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250
TAMPA, FL 33618
USA

CUSTOMERIO	PURCHASE ORDER 44	PAYMENT TERMS		PAGE 11
UNISIT1	3769	1% 15, Net 30 Days		PAGE 7/28
AVITTY SEE STEAT	ewet.	S DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRIC	- Xie 341
1.00	PROVIDI	E 180' MONOPOLE E 14' LOW PROFILE BLE PLATFORM	37,290. 3,000.	00 37,290.00
			7/	
		ENTERE	NOV ù s	1999
		OK to pay		
		OK to pay		
		# 48371	<i>'</i>	
		Subtota!		40,290.00
·	To	Sales Tax otal Invoice Amount		\$40,290.00
		Payment Received		0.00
	Check N	10: 011442	TOTAL	\$40,290.00



TAMPA, FL

USA

FRED A. NUDD CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 577
1743 Route 104
Ontario, New York 14519
(315) 524-2531
Fax (315) 524-4249

CONTROL OF CONTROL

FINANCE OF CONTROL

UNISITE
3450 BUSCHWOOD PARK DRIVE
SUITE 250

33618

INVOICE NUMBER: 20357

11/5/98

INVOICE DATE:

Ship To:

PORT HURON, MI/KRAMMER SITE # 48581

UNISIT	I BUH	CHASE ORDER PAYMENT TERMS 3769 1% 15, Net 30 Days	<u> </u>	PAGE
JANTITY	THEY NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
1.00	1,430	PROVIDE 180' MONOPOLE PROVIDE 14' LOW PROFILE ROTATABLE PLATFORM SHIPPING OF TOWER SHIPPING OF ANCHOR BOLTS AND TEMPLATE	37,290.00 3,000.00 800.00 240.70	37,290.00 3,000.00 800.00 240.70
,	1010-1			
		OK to	p 7 7	
		ENTERED DEC #4	18581	
		1990		
		Sales Tax		41,330.70
		Total Invoice Amount	j	\$41,330.70
		Payment Received		0.00
	·•	Check No: 0/2/09	TOTAL	\$41,330.70